# LOW-LEVEL LASER THERAPY IN CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE LUNG DISEASE

**Authors:** Mahmoud A Sayed<sup>1</sup>, Rania M El-Sherif<sup>1</sup>, Amany R Mohamed<sup>2</sup>, Ahmed A El-Sherif<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Critical Care Medicine, Kasr Al-Aini Hospitals, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt <sup>2</sup>Department of Physiotherapy, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt

# COPD

# **ABSTRACT**

Chronic obstuctive lung disease (COPD) is a common preventable and treatable disease. Low-level laser therapy (LLLT) appears to be a promising modality in COPD management.

# **AIMS**

The aim was to study the short-term effects of LLLT on clinical and cardiac status in patients with stable COPD.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This was a controlled randomized study. Patients with impaired left ventricular ejection fraction less than 50%, those with atrial fibrillation (AF), those with pulmonary hypertension not owing to COPD, and those with any contraindication to exercise test or LLLT were excluded. A total of 30 patients with stable COPD were divided into laser and control groups (15 patients each). Medical treatment was optimized in both groups with the addition of LLLT in the laser group.

The following were assessed before and after LLLT: Modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) scale, 6 min walk test, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion, and lateral tricuspid annulus tissue Doppler velocities. The LLLT has wavelength of 905 nm, output of 5-20 mW, and frequency of 500 Hz. Laser probe was placed on intercostal space both anteriorly and posteriorly on chest wall and arm with standardized laser acupuncture points of application with a frequency of five sessions/week for 2 successive weeks.

# **STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Statistical package for the social sciences Software program, version 21 (SPSS). Data were summarized using range, mean, SD, and median for quantitative variables and frequency and percentage for qualitative ones. Comparison between groups was performed using independent sample t-test (if parametric) or Mann-Whitney test (if nonparametric) for quantitative variables and x2-test or Fisher's exact test for qualitative ones. Paired quantitative measures were evaluated using paired t-test (if parametric) or Wilcoxon test (if nonparametric). P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant, and less than 0.01 were considered highly significant.

# **RESULTS**

Patients in LLLT group had higher pulmonary artery systolic pressure, lower early (E') and higher late (A') lateral tricuspid annular velocities by Tissue Doppler echocardiography (TDE) versus control. Overall, 100% of laser patients showed improvement in mMRC scale by at least one grade versus 46% in control. In laser group, 6 min walk test was 24.4±10.4 before versus 52.9±14.7 m at the end of the study (P=0.001). In control, it was 32.4±14.9 versus 40.1±19.2, (P=0.003). No echocardiographic changes were noticed before versus after the study.

# CONCLUSION

Significant clinical improvement of 6 min walk test and mMRC scale grading after LLLT therapy was observed. No detrimental effects of LLLT on left ventricle or right ventricle functions or pulmonary artery systolic pressure were seen.



#### **KEYWORDS:**

Acupuncture; Chronic obstructive lung disease; Laser; Right ventricle; tissue Doppler imaging

# INTRODUCTION

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is considered one of the important public health problems which runs a progressive course [1],[2],[3]. Several mechanisms are sought to be the cause of structural and functional changes in COPD (e,g, chronic inflammation, oxidative stress, and imbalance between proteases and antiproteases) [4]. Pharmacologic therapies and pulmonary rehabilitation can improve clinical condition. However, neither disease progression nor the decline in lung function stops [5],[6].

Low level laser therapy (LLLT, also known as photobiomodulation) works by applying direct light energy to body cells, with no rapid or significant temperature rise of the tissues [7]. It has a wide range of effects at the molecular, cellular, and tissue levels. The most accepted theory is that these lights which are mainly visible red and near infrared are absorbed particularly within the electron transport chain in the mitochondria [8],[9]. When cells get stressed, like in chronic diseases, the mitochondria produce nitric oxide (NO) which competitively displaces oxygen thus reducing adenosine triphosphate (ATP) production. Low- level laser therapy of the correct wavelength and density, dissociates NO allowing oxygen back in, so ATP is restored and oxidative stress reduced [8],[9].

Studies on its effect in respiratory diseases have shown improvement in gas exchange and pulmonary functions [10],[11],[12]. However, it is still not a routine practice according to latest COPD guidelines.

We aimed in this study to detect the clinical and echocardiographic effect of LLLT in patients with stable COPD. To our knowledge, none of previous studies evaluated the effect of LLLT on pulmonary pressure and right ventricular function by echocardiography.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was an open-labeled controlled, single center, randomized study. A total of 30 patients with stable COPD were enrolled in the study. The patients were randomized to laser group (first 15 patients,

optimum medical treatment+LLLT) and control group (optimum medical treatment alone). The optimization of medical treatment started 2 weeks before enrollment. It followed the Global Initiative for Obstructive Lung Disease guidelines and continued all through the study period. Patients with left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) less than 50%, those with atrial fibrillation, those with proven or suspected other causes of pulmonary hypertension, those with history of COPD exacerbation 2 months before the study or, those with any contraindication to exercise test [13] or LLLT were excluded from the study [14],[15]. There are no absolute contraindications for LLLT; however, in patients with pacemakers, pregnant, patients with cancer if there is any doubt of a recurrence of metastases, and patients with labile epilepsy, it should be avoided or given with caution. It is also better to avoid applications of LLLT over the thyroid gland, ovaries, and testicles [15],[16].

The study protocol was approved by the ethical committee. The study was conducted in the Critical Care Unit, Cairo University.

After enrollment and optimization of medical therapy for at least two weeks, all patients were subjected to the following at the beginning of the study and two weeks later:

- 1. Modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) Dyspnea scale [16]. The scale measures a person's limitation based on a scale of 0-4 and uses the final value to determine how much disability is caused by shortness of breath.
- 2. Six-minute walk test (6MWT) in meters using electrical treadmill (Schiller Quinton 4000; Schiller AG, Baar, Switzerland).
- 3. Echocardiographic examination was performed using Philips I.E 33 machine (Philips Ultrasound, Bothell, WA, USA), transthoracic cardiac probe × 5-1 with tissue Doppler capability. The following parameters were assessed: LVEF% by m-mode, diastolic mitral flow grading, right ventricular end diastolic diameter in apical four chambers view, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion, pulmonary artery systolic pressure (PASP) [peak tricuspid flow velocity+RA pressure (fixed value of 5 mmHg)], and peak systolic and diastolic tissue Doppler velocities of the lateral tricuspid annulus.
- 4. Laser acupuncture therapy. The first 15 patients were subjected to LLLT using Phyaction CL



(Phyaction, Bilzen, Belgium) with the following characteristics: wavelength 905 nm, output 5-20 mw, frequency 500 Hz). Laser probe was placed on acupuncture points which are thought to improve lung performance. They are located on both anterior and posterior chest walls and on both arms as follows:

- a. Anterior chest wall (LU1-LU2-CV17) ([Figure 1] and [Figure 2]).
- b. Posterior chest wall (UB13-UB17) ([Figure 3]).
- c. Arm (LU5-LU7) ([Figure 4]).

  Both patients and therapists were wearing protective goggles as a protective strategy. The LLLT was applied five times weekly for 2 successive weeks.
- 5. mMRC scale, 6MWT, and echocardiography were repeated at the end of the study period for all patients ([Figure 5]).

#### **RESULTS**

The study is a randomized controlled study on 30 patients with stable COPD with optimized medical therapy and LLLT in laser group.

- 1. Baseline clinical data.
- 2. Baseline echocardiographic measurements.
- 3. Clinical data at the end of the study.
- 4. Echocardiographic measurements at the end of




Figure 1
Lung points (LU1, LU2) on anterior chest wall.
Image courtesy of Mahler D., Medical Acupuncture.
Feb. 2016, 28:(1): 28-32.

the study.

# Baseline clinical data

No significant difference between laser group and control regarding age, sex, mMRC dyspnea scale, or 6MWT was observed ([Table 1]).

# Baseline echocardiographic measurements

Patients in the control group showed higher LVEF than control, but both were within normal ranges. Laser group had higher PASP, as well as higher A' velocities and lower E' of the lateral tricuspid annulus compared with control.

None of the other echocardiographic parameters showed any statistical difference between the two groups ([Table 2]).

# Clinical data at the end of the study

Marked increase in the 6MWT in the laser group was observed compared with control ([Figure 4]).

In laser group, the 6MWT was  $24.4\pm10.4$ , and then it reached  $52.9\pm14.7$  m at the end of the study, whereas in the control group, it was  $32.4\pm14.9$  and then reached  $40.1\pm19.2$  m at the end of the study ([Table 3]).

An improvement in the mMRC scale grade was observed more in the laser group than control, as 100% of laser patients showed improvement in mMRC scale by at least one grade versus 46% in the control ([Table 3]).

# Echocardiographic measurements at the end of the study

Laser group had higher PASP and A' velocities and lower E' compared with control.


No significant difference was observed between laser group and control regarding other echocardiographic measurements ([Table 4]).

# **Discussion**

COPD is a common preventable and treatable disease with marked disability in its severe form.

Several treatment strategies are aiming to improve clinical outcome and decrease the incidence of hospital re-admission. LLLT as a noninvasive method for improving COPD symptoms appears promising.






Lung meridians. Image courtesy of all-about-acupuncture.com

On the mentioned basis, our study was done at the Critical Care Unit, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for clinical and echocardiographic evaluation of the effect of LLLT on patients with stable COPD. The clinical condition was assessed by 6MWT and mMRC scale. The echocardiographic examination included the evaluation of left ventricle (LV) and right ventricle (RV) systolic and diastolic functions. There was a clinical improvement in 6MWT on both groups before and after the study, which could be explained by the sustained effect of optimization of medical treatment.

Regarding mMRC scale grading, our results showed more improvement in the laser group compared with control. All patients who underwent LLLT showed improvement in mMRC scale by at least one grade versus 46% in the control.

Suzuki *et al.* [12] tried to determine whether a combination of laser acupuncture treatment and conservative treatment for COPD improves dyspnea on exercise. They divided 30 patients into two groups, one received acupuncture one time per week for 10 weeks and the other received conventional medical





Six-minute walk test before and at the end of the study.

Table 1 Baseline clinical data in both groups

	Laser group [n (%)]	Control group [n (%)]	P value
Sex (males)	14 (93.3)	14 (93.3)	1.0
Smoking	13 (86.7)	11 (73.3)	0.7
mMRC scale			
Grade 0	0	0	1.0
Grade I	0	0	
Grade II	3 (20)	3 (20)	
Grade III	10 (67)	10 (67)	
Grade IV	2 (13)	2 (13)	
6MWT in meters (mean±SD)	24±10.39	32±17.93	0.3

6MWT, 6 min walk test; mMRC, Modified Medical Research Council

Table 2 Baseline echocardiographic measurement in the study group

	Laser group	Control group	P value
LVEF%	69.17±5.74	65.41±4.23	0.04
LV diastolic function grading [n (%)]			
Normal	6 (40)	5 (33)	
Grade I	9 (60)	10 (67)	
RVEDD (cm)	2.19±0.63	2.49±0.59	0.09
TAPSE (cm)	2.00±0.38	1.88±0.3	0.3
PASP (mmHg)	42.07±12.3	30.67±14	0.009
TD of RV free wal	I		
S (cm/s)	12.55±2.55	11.76±2.23	0.3
E' (cm/s)	11.52±3.7	14.75±3.83	0.03
A' (cm/s)	15.54±4.07	9.80±2.6	< 0.001

LV, left ventricle; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; PASP, pulmonary artery systolic pressure; RV, right ventricle; RVEDD, right ventricular end diastolic diameter; TAPSE, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; TD, tissue Doppler. Bold values mean significant.

Table 3 Clinical date at the end of the study

	Laser group [n (%)]	Control group [n (%)]	P value
mMRC scale			
Grade 0	0	0	
Grade I	3 (20)	1 (6.7)	
Grade II	10 (67)	4 (26.6)	
Grade III	2 (13)	7 (46.7)	
Grade IV	0	3 (20)	
6MWT (mean±SD)	52.93±14.69	40.13±19.22	0.08

6MWT, 6 min walk test; mMRC, Modified Medical Research Council.

Table 4 Echocardiographic parameters at the end of the study group

	Laser group	Control group	P value
LVEF%	69.93±3.99	68.91±5.23	0.6
RVEDD (cm)	2.25±0.52	2.42±0.55	0.3
TAPSE (cm)	1.96±0.32	1.88±0.36	0.4
PASP (mmHg)	42.67±12.53	29.27±12.27	0.001
TD of RV free wall			
S (cm/s)	12.61±2.16	11.97±1.31	0.5
E' (cm/s)	10.87±2.78	15.87±3.41	< 0.001
A' (cm/s)	14.62±3.58	9.83±1.83	<0.001

LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; PASP, pulmonary artery systolic pressure; RV, right ventricle; RVEDD, right ventricular end diastolic diameter; TAPSE, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; TD, tissue Doppler. Bold values mean significant.

treatment. Their results showed the acupuncture group had significant improvement in Borg scale and 6MWT compared to the other group. The laser frequency in the previous study differed from the protocol we used. However, until now, there is no standardized protocol for LLLT, but most studies used 10 sessions protocol with different frequencies. In a study on patients with asthma, Milojevic et al. [10] treated 50 patients with laser acupuncture for 10 days, comparing changes with those of a control group of the same number of patients, differing only in that laser acupuncture was not given. A significant improvement of all estimated lung function parameters was observed 30 min after laser treatment.

Mohammed *et al.* [11] studied 31 patients with different respiratory illnesses (COPD, asthma, bronchiectasis, and interstitial lung disease) and showed improvement in 6MWT as well as forced expiratory volume in 1 s in laser group more than control group. LLL was given in the same protocol as our study.



Similarly, Ailioaie *et al.* [17] conducted their study on 98 children (10-18 years) with moderate or severe asthma during an asthma free period. They divided them into three groups, a group received laser acupuncture and laser scanning twice daily for 10 days per month, the other group received an inhaled  $\beta 2$  agonist twice daily and the last group received oral theophylline retard two times per day. This protocol continued for 3 months. Favorable clinical, functional and immunological criteria were observed in 83% of the patients in group 1, 70% in group 2 and 53% in group 3. There were no reported side-effects in laser group.

In spite of the higher PASP in laser group, significant clinical improvement of 6MWT and mMRC scale grading was recorded in laser group more than the control group. This could be explained by the mechanism of action of LLL therapy.

The suggested mechanism of LLLT actions includes absorption of photons by the mitochondria and this will stimulate production of more ATP with low levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS). ROS are known to cause two actions according to their levels: at low level, they enhance cellular proliferation, and in high levels, they prevent proliferation and kill cells. Another mechanism for LLLT includes the production of nitric oxide which is released from its binding sites in the respiratory cycle and elsewhere producing its beneficial effects. Future development in the understanding of LLLT mechanisms is needed. This might lead to more acceptance of LLLT in mainstream medicine and may lead also to the use of LLLT for serious cardiovascular and neurological diseases [18].

In our study, laser therapy did not result in any deleterious effect on either LV or RV systolic or diastolic functions.

No harm has been documented for LLLT since its use in clinical trials and research. No long-term side effects were reported for this form of therapy. This gives security and safety for its use now and in the future [19]. Other than averting your eyes from the laser's red or infrared light, the FDA has found no other red flags or adverse side effects from using LLLT.

# CONCLUSION

Significant clinical improvement of 6?min walk test and mMRC scale grading after LLLT therapy was

observed. No detrimental effects of LLLT were seen on LV or RV functions or PASP.

# Study limitations and recommendations

Limited number of the study group. Pulmonary function tests were not performed to all patients during the inclusion thus limiting one of milestones needed for COPD diagnosis. Another limitation is the short follow up duration.

We recommend applying this study on mechanically ventilated patients and studying its effect on lung mechanics. We also recommend a larger number of patients and prolonged follow-up period to determine if the effect of laser was sustained or not.

# **REFERENCES**

- Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K, Lim S, Shibuya K, Aboyans V et al. Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2012; 380:2095-2128.
- 2. Almagro P, Barreiro B, Ochoa de Echaguen A, Quintana S, Rodriguez M, Heredia JL et al. Risk factors for hospital readmission in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Respiration 2006; 73:311-317.
- 3. Marti S, Munoz X, Rios J, Morell F, Ferrer J. Body weight and comorbidity predict mortality in COPD patients treated with oxygen therapy. Eur Respir J 2006; 27:689-696.
- 4. Burge PS, Calverley PM, Jones PW, Spencer S, Anderson JA, Maslen TK. Randomised, double blind, placebo controlled study of fluticasone propionate in patients with moderate to severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: the ISOLDE trial. BMJ 2000; 320:1297-1303
- 5. Vestbo J, Sorensen T, Lange P, Brix A, Torre P, Viskum K. Long-term effect of inhaled budesonide in mild and moderate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 1999; 353:1819-1823
- 6. McCarthy B, Casey D, Devane D, Murphy K, Murphy E, Lacasse Y. Pulmonary rehabilitation for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2015; 2:CD003793
- 7. Mester E, Mester AF, Mester A. The biomedical effects of laser application. Lasers Surg Med 1985; 5:31-39
- 8. Karu TI. Photobiology of low-power laser effects. Hlth Phys 1989; 56:691-704
- 9. Karu TI. Photobiology of low-power laser therapy. London: Harwood Academic Publishers 1989
- 10. Milojevic M, Kuruc V. Low power laser



- biostimulation in the treatment of bronchial asthma. Med Pregl 2003; 56:413-418
- 11. Mohammed AR, Shaaban MM. Role of laser acupuncture in chronic respiratory diseases. Egypt J Chest Dis Tuberc 2014; 63:1065-1070
- 12. Suzuki M, Namura K, Ohno Y, Tanaka H, Egawa M, Yokoyama Y et al. The effect of acupuncture in the treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. J Altern Complement Med 2008; 14:1097-1105
- 13. Singh SJ, Puhan MA, Andrianopoulos V, Hernandes NA, Mitchell KE, Hill CJ et al. An official systematic review of the European Respiratory Society/ American Thoracic Society: measurement properties of field walking tests in chronic respiratory disease. Eur Respir J 2014; 44:1447-1478
- 14. Pontinen PJ. Guidelines for LLLT. In: Pontinen PJ, editor. Low level laser therapy as a medical treatment modality: a manual for physicians, dentists, physiotherapists and veterinary surgeons. 148. Tampere, Finland: Art Urpo Ltd. 1992
- 15. Hawkins D, Houreld N, Abrahamse H. Low level laser therapy (LLLT) as an effective therapeutic modality for delayed wound healing. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2005; 1056:486-493
- 16. Bestall JC, Paul EA, Garrod R, Garnham R, Jones PW, Wedzicha JA. Usefulness of the Medical Research Council (MRC) dyspnoea scale as a measure of disability in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Thorax 1999; 54:581-586
- 17. Ailioaie C, Ailioaie L. The treatment of bronchial asthma with LLLT in attack-free period in children. Ter Arkh 1997; 69:49-50

- 18. Farivar S, Malekshahabi T, Shiari R. Biological effects of low level laser therapy, a review article. J Lasers Med Sci 2014; 5:58-62
- 19. Chung H, Dai T, Sharma SK, Haung YY, Carroll JD, Hamblin MR. The nuts and bolts of low-level laser (light) therapy. Ann Biomed Eng 2012; 40:516-533

Date of Submission: 07-Nov-2017
Date of Acceptance: 12-Jan-2018
Date of Web Publication: 20-Aug-2018

Correspondence Address: Rania M El-Sherif, MD Critical Care Medicine 43 Manial Street Cairo, 11511, Egypt

Financial support and sponsorship: None.

Conflict of Interest: None DOI: 10.4103/ejb.ejb\_110\_17

Provided Courtesy of Aspen Laser University

This information is intended to provide general information, education and communication about laser medicine and surgery. It should not be construed as specific claims, medical advice or recommendation. Aspen Laser University, its directors and officers, make no representations or warranties of any kind, express or implied, about the completeness, accuracy, reliability, suitability or availability with respect to this information, products, services, or related graphics for any purpose and take no responsibility for any actions resulting from the use of this information either by physicians or other persons. Information contained herein is not a substitute for a consultation and physical examination by a physician. Only discussion of with a qualified physician can determine the best method of treatment.

